

**Habitat occupancy by tiger prey species across
anthropogenic disturbance regimes in Panna National
Park, M.P.**

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO SAURASHTRA UNIVERSITY, RAJKOT, IN
PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE MASTER'S DEGREE IN WILDLIFE SCIENCE,
JULY 1999.

By,

MANU VERGHESE MATHAI

UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF,

Mr. QAMAR QURESHI

AND

Dr. R. S. CHUNDAWAT

WILDLIFE INSTITUTE OF INDIA


DEHRADUN



भारतीय वन्यजीव संस्थान
Wildlife Institute of India

CERTIFICATE


This is to certify that the dissertation entitled "**Habitat occupancy by tiger prey species across anthropogenic disturbance regimes in Panna National Park, M. P.**", embodies a piece of original research work carried out by Mr. Manu Verghese Mathai for the partial fulfilment of Master's degree in Wildlife Science of Saurashtra University, Rajkot. The research work was carried out under our supervision at the Wildlife Institute of India during November 1998 to June 1999. We also certify that this work has not been submitted for any other degree of any University.



Mr Qamar Qureshi,
Faculty of Wildlife Biology

Date: 23rd June, 1999.

Place: Wildlife Institute of India,
Dehradun.



Dr. R. S. Chundawat,
Faculty of Wildlife Biology.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	I
SUMMARY	V
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. LITERATURE REVIEW	4
1.2. OBJECTIVES	7
2. STUDY AREA	9
2.1. LOCATION	9
2.2. CLIMATE	9
2.3. TOPOGRAPHY	11
2.3.1. ESCARPMENTS	11
2.3.2. PLATEAU	11
2.4. DRAINAGE AND HYDROLOGICAL REGIME	12
2.5. PEOPLE, SOCIETY AND LAND USE	12
2.6. VEGETATION	13
2.7. DESCRIPTION OF TRANSECTS	14
2.8. FAUNA	17
3. METHODOLOGY	18
3.1. FIELD METHODS	17
3.1.1. ANIMAL POPULATION DISTRIBUTION	18
3.1.1.1. LINE TRANSECT METHOD	18
3.1.1.2. THE PELLET-COUNT METHOD	19
3.1.2. HABITAT EVALUATION	20
3.1.2.1. VEGETATION PARAMETERS	20
3.1.2.2. TERRAIN AND STRUCTURAL PARAMETERS	21
3.1.2.3. QUANTIFICATION OF DISTURBANCE	22
3.2. ANALYTICAL METHODS	22

3.2.1. DENSITY ESTIMATION	22
3.2.1.1. CHECKING FOR DETECTIBILITY	
BIAS OF SPECIES BETWEEN TRANSECTS	22
3.2.1.2. ANALYSIS OF LINE TRANSECT DATA	22
3.2.2. DUNG DEPOSITION RATES ON DIFFERENT	
TRANSECTS	23
3.2.3. ORDINATION OF TRANSECTS BASED ON	
DISTURBANCE AND HABITAT CHARACTERISTICS	24
4. RESULTS	24
4.1. DENSITY ESTIMATION	24
4.1.1. DETECTABILITY BIAS OF SPECIES BETWEEN TRANSECTS	24
4.1.2. DENSITY ESTIMATION FOR DIFFERENT TRANSECTS	24
4.2. DUNG DEPOSITION RATES ON DIFFERENT TRANSECTS	24
4.3. ORDINATION OF HABITATS	25
4.4. SPECIES ASSOCIATION WITH HABITAT VARIABLES.	25
5. DISCUSSION	47
SIGNIFICANCE FOR TIGER CONSERVATION	55
6. BIBLIOGRAPHY	56
APPENDIX 1	
LIST OF FIGURES :	Pg. No.
Figure 2.1 – Map of study area and transects.	10
Figure 4.1 (A - E) – Histogram used to approximate strip widths.	27-31
Figure 4.3 (A - H) - Graphs representing dung deposition rates.	35-38
Figure 4.4 A – Ordination of transects on habitat quality and disturbance.	42
Figure 4.4 B - Ordination of transects on habitat quality and topography.	43
Figure 4.2 – Overlap of species with respect to habitat quality and disturbance.	44

List of Tables :	Pg. No.
Table 4.1 (A – H) – Densities of ungulates in Panna.	32
Table 4.2 – Multiple comparison of transects with respect to livestock dung deposition rate.	39
Table 4.3 A – Component matrix showing correlation of variables.	40
Table 4.3 B – Proportion of variables explained by all components.	40
Table 4.3 C - Total variance explained by variables.	41
Table 4.4 A-B Matrix of multiple comparison of transects with respect to the PC1 and PC2 .	45
Table 4.5 – Correlation matrix of dung deposition rates with factor Scores of the 3 principal components.	46

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank the Addl. PCCF and CWLW, of Madhya Pradesh Forest Department, Mr. P. K. Mishra (IFS) for the necessary permissions to work at Panna National Park.

The Field Director Mr. P. K. Choudhury (IFS), Deputy Director Mr. Vishwanath (IFS), and Additional Director Mr. H. K. Dave, my thanks for assistance provided. Director Mr. S. K. Mukherjee for the all the facilities provided for conducting the course. Our Course Advisor Dr. Johnsingh and Course Directors Dr. Jhala and Dr. Chundawat , thank you for all your efforts in running the course.

On a personal front..

My parents, for life, for love and everything immeasurable, thank you immeasurably. A sense of gratitude that cannot be quantified, unlike many things on the pages that follow, I owe to my brother. Achacha thank you.

To my teachers at Frank Anthony Public School where I spent 14 years, I bow in gratitude. Mr. Wilson, Mr. and Mrs. Simento, Mr. Prabhakar and to the memory of Mrs. Lija Joseph, my teachers at the Environmental Sciences Department, St. Joseph's College, Bangalore, thank you. For this is where many of my ideas took birth.

To Srinivasan and Freddy for introducing me to the magic of the wilderness, to Muna, Merry, Shalu, Susmitha, Smitha and Lourd, for your wonderful company and the carefree years that we have shared, thank you.

At WII, where I have spent two wonderful years, I thank everyone who was

responsible and contributed in any capacity to make it happen.

My supervisor Mr. Qamar Qureshi and his wife Dr. Nita Shah for the immeasurable patience, guidance and encouragement during the crazy times of dissertation writing. My co supervisor Dr. Chundawat, for his critical inputs on various drafts of this thesis. And also for his efforts at securing the sponsorship for my course. To Dr. Jhala for navigating the maze of paper work and signatures when Dr. Chundawat was not around.

Thanks to Save The Tiger Fund for sponsoring my entire course and the field work.

To Drs. Johnsingh, Rawat, Goyal, Ravi, Sankar, Hussain, Sathyakumar for all the inputs during the duration of this course. Dr. Hussain for the hospitality that we often enjoyed at his place.

I thank Dr. Renee Borges, Dr. Karanth, for the inputs and improvements on my proposal . Madhusudan M.D., Rashid, Khalid, Karunakaran, Shomita, Prachi, Jayapal and Vinod for going through my proposal and discussions. I thank Karthik and Mahesh for commenting on drafts of my chapters. The researcher at the hostel for help and guidance at various points during the course. Yoganand, Neel and Anuradha for the hospitality in field and the useful discussions.

To my classmates, with whom I shared a lot, and from whom I learnt a lot thanks to each one of you. Sayantan (forever philosophical !), Samraat (Rat), Shomen (Munna), Krushnamegh (KuKu) and Anand (Doc), all of whom endeared me with antiques quite their own. To Avanti (malpatoor), for ever cool, to, Tanu (tonutree) for ever not cool, and Cheryl (mama nath) sometimes cool, thanks for these two

years of companionship. Jatinder for her room always stacked with 'namkeens' and 'bel juice' thank you for the nourishment during dissertation writing. Jatti's charity home..!

At the library, I thank the librarian Mr. Rana and the entire staff. Specially Vermaji, Sashi and Uniyal, and all the others for help ever forthcoming. The staff at Computer centre, Dinesh for help with Autocad.

Back in Panna, my field assistant Kishori, I owe him much for all that he has taught me about the forests of Panna. At Hinota range, my sincere thanks to the Range Officer Mr. M. Tamrakar, and his staff at Hinota barrier for all the good times, and company I shared with them during the six months of my stay in Panna.

Summary

Effect of anthropogenic disturbance on habitat occupancy by tiger prey species was studied in Panna National Park, Madhya Pradesh. The study was conducted between November 1998 and April 1999. Line transect method and Pellet count technique were used to estimate abundance for all ungulates. Abundance estimates were used as a measure for intensity of habitat use by all species. The density estimates from line transects are associated with high coefficient of variation, which is largely a function of the small sample size resulting from extremely low densities.

Ordination of habitat parameters grouped the transects based on habitat quality and structure, disturbance and topography. Anthropogenic disturbance was found to be an important factor, influencing habitat quality and differential use of habitats by animals.

Sambar associated strongly with low disturbance hill habitats and poorly with relocated village sites and disturbed plateau transects. Indications from line transects and pellet count method concur in the case of sambar. Chital were very localised in their distribution being strongly restricted to secondary successional stages and ecotones between relocated village sites and woodland. Nilgai was a generalist in terms of habitat occupancy. In case of nilgai the two methods complement each other with the information they provide. The information from pellet-group counts was found to reflecting patterns that are not detected by direct sampling methods like line transects.

Chinkara was strongly associated with the disturbed areas, largely because of its openness, but also found in the undisturbed areas. Wild pig, like nilgai, was a generalist, but showed preference for fringe areas of forest adjoining agricultural fields. Langur showed a marked preference for hill habitats and did not differentiate between disturbed and undisturbed hill habitats. Langur also showed the strongest association with water.

The distribution of preferred prey, sambar and chital is localised. Nilgai, which is distributed throughout the study area, is found in habitats not favourable for tigers. Such a distribution would probably only support dispersing and transient animals. Therefore habitat management should be aimed at maintaining and expanding habitats suitable for cervids.

Disturbance in the form of livestock grazing and woodcutting are largely responsible for maintaining poor habitat quality. The cause for these disturbances cannot be disconnected from the socio-economic and cultural reality of the people, both near and far, and therefore the conservation of the tiger has to address these aspects rather than restricting only to the biology of the animal.

1. INTRODUCTION

"India is remarkable for the variety of its large mammals, a richness in species exceeded by few countries in the world". George Schaller (1967), he goes on to conclude in the introduction to his landmark work - *The Deer and the Tiger...*" In India perhaps more than in most countries, the basic problem of animal and human ecology are intimately related, and a solid body of facts is desperately needed if conservation and management practices satisfactory to man, his livestock, and the wildlife are to be initiated in time to save the last from complete extermination..."

India today, thirty two years after Schaller (1967) wrote his introduction still faces the same dilemma, the lives of millions of people on one hand and our biological heritage on the other, which, to say the least is a precarious situation. These conflicts of interest are most visible in and around our Protected areas (P.A.'s) which, being perhaps the last repositories of our biological diversity are of great conservation importance. And being an important source of biomass resources for the sea of humanity that surrounds them, they are subject to intense human pressures. A crisis compounded by the fact that they occupy as little as 6.75% of the country's land area (State of the Forest Report, 1997) and the alarming rate at which the human population continues to grow.

Caughley (1994) formalised two important aspects of conservation biology. Namely, the "small population paradigm" and the "declining population paradigm". The small population paradigm deals with the effect of small size of populations e.g. Inbreeding depression and minimum viable population. This is a symptomatic approach to the problem, and hence by itself, long term, permanent solutions will continue to evade us. On the other hand the declining population paradigm deals with the factors causing the decline of populations and its cure. This approach

largely evades our attempts to generalise, because of the wide variety of processes and situations that contribute to it and the situations being very site specific (Caughley 1994). But it is this approach that needs more rigorous investigation to elevate the causes from mere conjectures to certainties.

Causes for decline and decimation of tiger and its prey populations are numerous. Schaller (1967) described two factors that have brought the large mammalian fauna to its present predicament, a predicament hauntingly reflected in the current state of our tiger population. Our indescribable history of "hunting" for recreation and the indirect and less avoidable course of "habitat destruction". Both of which are key elements in the declining population paradigm. Trophy hunting of large animals like in the old days is largely under check following a series of wildlife protection laws. Despite which poaching of both prey and predator continues, be it for food, oriental medicines, or other illegal trade in wildlife parts (Siedensticker, 1997). Habitat degradation, on the other hand which is largely a function of human influence, continues to work at its own steady pace affecting both the predator and the prey. Poaching combined with degradation and fragmentation of habitats of already depressed populations will have disastrous effects on its viability and future survival (Seidensitcker et al. 1999)

Though tigers have been seen to feed on a wide variety animals (Schaller 1976). A marked preference for medium (31-175 Kg) to large (>176 Kg) sized ungulates has been documented by studies at different areas. Schaller (1967), Johnsingh (1983) and Karanth & Sunquist (1995) have all found that medium to large sized ungulates comprise the bulk of the tiger's diet. Of which Chital and Sambar between them constitute approximately 55 –65% of the diet.

Therefore a viable and abundant prey population is a prerequisite for any viable population of tigers.

The tiger is the largest obligate terrestrial carnivore in any of the mammalian assemblages in which it occurs, and as such preys on the largest ungulates found in these assemblages (Seidensticker 1997). Karanth & Sunquist (1992), Eisenberg & Seidensticker (1976) and Schaller (1967) have all observed a positive correlation between tiger densities and prey biomass densities. The prey, in turn depend entirely on the availability of suitable and productive habitats to maintain such viable and abundant populations.

However, habitat destruction or "elimination of habitat" (Schaller, 1967) is an ongoing process and it is here, in the cycle of events, that anthropogenic influences play a key role in modifying and often degrading the habitat by diverting a substantial quantum of the biomass production towards human and livestock needs. Anthropogenic disturbances take the form of cattle grazing, lopping and cutting for fodder, fuelwood and other biomass requirements, fires, large scale extraction of non-timber forest products (NTFP's) and drastic alterations in the physio-chemical quality of the terrain.

The direct effects of such disturbances include loss of cover, change in vegetation communities, species composition, forage abundance and quality; all of which have a direct effect on the ungulate habitat use (Dinerstein 1979a). The tiger, due to the obligate nature of its phylogenetic (Sunquist et al. 1999) and trophic positions is most vulnerable to such alterations and deterioration of habitat, which affects the health of the prey populations (Karanth and Smith 1999). Karanth & Stith (1999), from modelling studies of tiger populations have speculated that prey depletion is a major factor driving the current decline of wild tiger populations and hence a "significant constraint" on their recovery.

But, it has also been found that disturbances at various intensities need to be considered. At lower intensities disturbances like fire and other anthropogenic activities

increase the amount of edge habitats, which is preferred by many ungulate species (Sunquist et al. 1999). Studies of grazing systems have shown that net primary productivity is the highest on marginally grazed sites, while the lowest is at the heavily grazed site (Pandey and Singh 1992). These findings suggest that an optimum level of disturbance is useful in maintaining the productivity and variety of habitats, which is favourable for the ungulates. Therefore a valuable extension to the body of work existing on ungulates from the subcontinent, would be to understand how ungulate communities habitat choice and occupancy relates to environmental heterogeneity that results from different intensities of anthropogenic disturbance.

1.1 LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1.1. Habitat Selection by Ungulates

Habitats can be compared to templates, moulding the shape of the community that occupies it. Moreover, much like the template is moulded in return (Southwood 1987).

Central to the study of animal ecology is an understanding of the habitat the animal(s) in question occupies or its habitat preferences. A geographical area may comprise a variety of habitats, and may be occupied by many species. If species are to be conserved, it is essential to know what factors are influencing their distribution. This can be achieved by relating distribution of animals to the characteristics of the geographical region (Ben-Shahrar 1988). A significant body of work on the distribution patterns of ungulate communities has been carried out on the West African ungulate fauna (Ben-Shahrar & Skinner 1988, Ben-Shahar 1990). While the South - Asian ungulate assemblage has being left out largely when it came to quantitative work on habitat preference. Nevertheless invaluable information has been collected by a host of

workers beginning with Schaller (1967) and a long list of workers following him who have been briefly reviewed below.

Large mammalian terrestrial herbivores tend to show peak densities in grassland, grass scrub and savanna biomes, with densities reaching their lowest in severely arid conditions or at the other extreme in tropical evergreen forests (Eisenberg 1980). Although the specific reasons are different in both cases. As a generalization, consider a rainfall gradient from low to high, e.g. from dry thorn forest to moist deciduous forests, and further to tropical evergreen forests. The mammalian biomass increases along this gradient. After a point as the forest cover becomes continuous and the forest only supports little ground cover in terms of shrubs and grass, the ungulate biomass again falls (Eisenberg & Seidensticker 1976). The dry deciduous and scrub forest of Gir support 383kg/Km², of wild mammalian herbivore biomass (Berwick 1974). Later after the formation of the national park and under protection from grazing, Khan *et al.* (1996) from the same area reported a wild herbivore biomass of 2,746 Kg/Km². In comparison, the moist semi-deciduous forests and meadows of Kanha support 1780 Kg/Km², of wild herbivore biomass (Schaller 1967). The highest biomass - 2858 Kg/Km² - of wild herbivore are seen in the gallery forests and alluvial flood plains of Kaziranga (Spillet 1967a). However the tropical rain forest of Ujung Kulon in Java support only about 492 Kg/Km² of ungulate biomass (Hoogerwerf 1970). These differences are drastic enough to reflect the intrinsic differences in quality of the habitats in relation to ungulates. These differences indicate that though moisture availability is an essential factor, large herbivorous mammals in India and south - asia attain peak densities in secondary successional forests. Which have an interspersion of grass, shrubs, low stature trees but not moist enough to support closed canopy woody vegetation like in the tropical evergreen forests, where most of the biomass is locked up in the crowns of the trees making it inaccessible to terrestrial herbivorous

mammalian forms (Eisenberg and Lockhart 1972).

The secondary seral woodland savanna stage is suitable to graze livestock too, and has been maintained largely by burning (Dinerstein 1976), and other forms of interference by man, in the absence of which the forest would progress to woodland, which is considered the climax for the Indian subcontinent with its seasonal rainfall regime (Puri et al. 1982). An added factor maintaining the secondary seral stage in the terai, is the annual cycle of flooding (Dinerstein 1976). In the case of central India the role of fire and grazing has been a critical factor in maintaining this stage of succession. With a *Terminalia- Butea- Diospyros* mixed deciduous forest emerging with protection from grazing (Tiwari 1954).

Eisenberg and Seidensticker (1976) reported that the ungulate biomass observed in South-Asia is considerably lower, than that found on the plains of east Africa. Eisenberg (1980) attributes this largely to the fact, that unlike the older east African herbivore assemblage, the grazing herbivores have not diversified equally in the ungulate communities of south Asia. Recent studies (Khan *et al.* 1996, Karnath and Sunquist 1992, Karanth and Nichols 1998) from the Indian sub-continent however reveal much higher ungulates biomass estimates, approximating those from the savanna grasslands of East and Central Africa (Hirst 1975) when contributions from species like Elephant, hippopotamus and buffalo are excluded from the latter estimates. Even when biomass contribution by elephants, hippopotamus and buffalo are considered the estimates by Hirst (1975), of 10,000 to 20,000 Kg / Km², is in the range of what has been reported by Karnath and Sunquist (1992) from Nagarahole, 6,846 to 19,092 Kg / Km². This is because of the vast improvement in habitat quality since the inception of Project Tiger in India in 1973, and the consequent protection that these national parks and sanctuaries have from grazing and other related forms of biomass extraction. Hence in spite of the lower diversification of the grazers, these

areas appear to be able to support equally high ungulate biomass as has been observed from the savanna of Central and East Africa.

Karanth & Sunquist (1992) reported higher average group sizes of chital and higher densities of all other ungulate species and primates in moist and teak dominant habitats compared to the dry deciduous habitat at Nagarahole. This is contrary to what has been postulated by Eisenberg (1980). i.e. the Dry deciduous forest with its woodland savanna vegetation structure would be expected to support a higher biomass of grazers than moist deciduous forests. Karanth & Sunquist (1992) suggests that the coarse nature and low nutritional quality of the grasses during the dry season may be a factor reducing the carrying capacity of ungulates. Also dry deciduous forests are comparatively scarce in fruits and browse that characterise the dry season forage. Further the absence of fires, which historically had played an important role in maintaining grassland productivity, since the formation of the park has also probably reduced the quality of the habitat for grazer species.

The studies of Dinerstein (1980), Eisenberg & Seidensticker (1976) and Karanth & Sunquist(1992) have all shown that the greatest ungulate biomass is reached in areas where grassland and forests form a mosaic and where the interdigitation of many different vegetation types. Changing river courses, fire and anthropogenic disturbances have all contributed to increasing the edge habitat, which is preferred by many ungulate species (Sunquist *et al.* 1999).

1.2. OBJECTIVES

Panna National Park is representative of the dry deciduous forests in India that are characterised by intense human pressure. About 40 % of tiger habitats in India fall within such sub-optimal areas (Chundawat *et al.* 1997). Hence a clear understanding

of the impact of human disturbances in such areas is imperative. Therefore this study was conceptualised with the following objectives.

1. To estimate relative prey abundance across a gradient of anthropogenically disturbed areas within Panna N.P.
2. To understand which of the habitat or disturbance variables or combination thereof are responsible for the observed habitat occupancy patterns of the prey species.

2. STUDY AREA


2.1 Location

Panna National Park (Figure 2.1), is located in North Central Madhya Pradesh. The park occupies an area of 543Km² spread over two districts, Panna and Chattarpur. It lies between 24° 27' and 24° 46' N and 79° 45' to 80° 09' E. The park is part of, the *Central Indian Highlands* (Zone 7), according to the biogeographic classification of Rodgers & Panwar (1988).

2.2 Climate

The calendar year can be approximately divided into three distinct seasons. The Summer season from March to June, wet season from July to October and the winter season from November to February. The annual rainfall is approximately 1100 mm. This is the only source of water for large areas of the park. The maximum day temperature recorded till the end of May this year was 47° C. While the lowest night time temperature recorded during winter was 3° C. Ground frost is common in the moist and open grassland areas of the park during peak winter, mostly in the month of January. Usually a few winter and summer showers are in order. During the course of the present field study though, there were only two brief wet spells. The first from 15th to 18th of November '98, caused by a cyclonic depression in the Bay of Bengal and the second, again due to a depression in the Bay, between the 3rd and 10th of February. But for these two brief spells the remainder of the period from 14th November '98 to 3rd May 1999, was dry.

Figure 2.1: Map of Study Area:



Panna National Park, Madhya Pradesh

2.3 Topography

2.3.1 Escarpments

The park is a part of the Vindhya hill ranges. The topography of the park is unusual and unique. It can be termed as "Step" topography, with one plateau stepping down onto the lower plateau. The plateau's run approximately in the NE- SW direction. The transition from one plateau to another takes the form of steep escarpments, usually a steep fall ranging from 10-80 meters. The area along these escarpments are extremely rocky, have perennial water springs, plenty of caves and thick vegetation of various types, including Bamboo (*Dendroclalmus strictus*) many shrubs species (eg. *Helicteris isora*, *Grewia sp.*) and trees species at their bases. During the summer months when water is at a premium, these areas offer not only water but also shade which is provided by trees which regenerate leaves early (eg. *Schleichera oleosa*) and species which shed leaves late in summer (eg. *Soyamida febrifuga*). In fact the only semblance of greenery to be seen anywhere during the summer is along these escarpments. All these factors make these areas an extremely important habitat component for a host of wildlife species in Panna.

2.3.2 Plateau

From the base of one escarpment to the top of the next one, is more or less flat land, crisscrossed by a network of seasonal or rather monsoonal streams, streamlets and their catchments. On a moisture gradient, moisture levels decrease as one moves away from the base of the escarpment towards the escarpment of the next lower plateau. PNP has three such plateaus or 'steps', the upper Talgaon plateau, the middle Hinouta plateau and the valley of the Ken river. This study was carried on mostly on parts of the middle Hinota plateau and a small area on the Talgaon plateau.

2.4 Drainage and Hydrological regime.

The entire area forms part of the catchment of the Ken river. The river as such passes briefly through the western part of the park. Which makes it restricted in access. The river though it reduces a lot in summer, is never completely dry. The springs along the escarpments are perennial and are fed by aquifers draining into crevices along the escarpments. The monsoon rains and any other precipitation are the only sources of water for a large part of the park. There are also a few tanks both natural and manmade spread around the park. Areas within the park that were previously inhabited or are being presently inhabited have some perennial source of water. Which also makes these the most productive areas of the park. There are also a handful of streams that retain water from the monsoon flow till early summer.

2.5 People, Society and Land Use

The first impressions that one gets on visiting the area are the extremely low levels of social development. Levels of education and awareness are very low, hence avenues for employment are limited, or non-existent. Therefore the only option available are some form of dependence on the resources of the forest next-door.

Under such circumstances it is no surprise that the park is subject to intense human pressure. There are over 47 villages within a 5km belt around the park and 13 villages within the park. Who to a large extent are dependent on the park for their livelihood. Conservative estimates are that the livestock and human population within the park is about 9500 heads of livestock and about 6000 people (Forest Department records). The total cattle population of the dependent (enclaved and surrounding) villages is in the range of 37,500 and 50,000. Even these are likely to be conservative estimates. The human population is about 34,500 (Chaudhary 1996).

The major ethnic groups of the area are the Gonds (Rajgonds, Nandgonds and Saurgonds) and Khairuas among the tribes and the Yadavs among the non-tribals.

Cattle rearing is the predominant occupation of the people in this area. Agriculture, but for a handful of big farmers, is a subsistence occupation with most of the land holdings varying between 0.5 - 7.0 ha. and which get divided into smaller and less economic holdings as the generations go by. A high "generation" turnover rate. Also agriculture is restricted to one crop a year for lack of water during the dry season. Working as daily wage labour and collection and selling of fuel wood and NTFP are hence important source of income for a substantial number of people. The dependence on the park for fuel wood reaches as far as Panna and probably even further.

On the social development front, as with the whole of the Bundhelkand region, it is still very backward area and child marriages are still the rule. Family planning as always has taken the back seat. And the caste hierarchy is as rigid as ever.

Hence a host of factors largely of a societal origins, keep the people dependent, with their dependence rising exponentially, on the forests.

2.6 Vegetation

The forest types within Panna National Park belong to the following categories (Champion and Seth 1968).

1. Southern tropical dry deciduous dry teak mix forest 5A/C₃.
2. Northern tropical dry deciduous mixed forest – 5B/C₂
3. Dry deciduous scrub forest.
4. Boswellia forest 5/E₂
5. Dry bamboo brakes 5/E₉
6. *Annogeissus pendula* forest 5/E₁

2.7 Description of transects

The transects represent two very different topographic regimes, the hills or escarpments and plateau. (cf figure on page 9.)

The two hill transects are T2 and T8. Transect T2 falls on the fringe of the park running along the escarpment bordering the nearby township and diamond mine. The forest compartment along side this transect is open access forest. Like many areas along the hills this transect has numerous perennial springs dotting it. These are watering points for the large number of livestock that come out to graze from the nearby township. The ground layer of the vegetation is largely dominated by *Oscimum sp.* Grass cover is sparse along this transect. Signs of bear activity abound and leopard tracks have been found. The tree species composition of T2 is varied. The main species include *Terminalina tomentosa*, *Tectona grandis*, *Lagerstroemia parviflora*, *Annogeissus latifolia*, *Acacia catechu*, *Cochlospermum religiosum* and *Diospyros melanoxylon*.

Transect T8, the second hill transect is different from T2, in terms of the under growth. Parts of T8 have extremely high grass growth and a high shrub and sapling diversity. Patches of *Dendrocalamus strictus* too are found along this transect. Unlike T2, this transect does not have any perennial springs, but there are seasonal sources of water within a radius of 2 Km from any point on the transect. T8 falls within the zone of influence of the Ram Kheriya village, which was relocated in 1984. By virtue of its position, today it's a better-protected area, protected from the pressures of grazing and lopping. Indirect evidences of tiger presence, scats and pugmarks have been recorded on this transect. Teak appears to be the dominant tree species on this transect. The other species found include *Zizyphus xylopyrus*, *Lagerstroemia parviflora*, *Butea superba*, *Diospyros melanoxylon*, *Annogeissus latifolia*. and *Schleichera oleosa*.

The remainder of the transects, namely T1, T 3.1, T 3.2, T 4.1, T 4.2, T 5, T 6 & T 7 were all plateau transects. The forest types represented by all the transects on the plateau transects were broadly dry deciduous teak mixed forest, or dry deciduous mixed forest. Amongst the plateau transects, T 7 is located near Badgadi, which is a relocated village site. This transect served as a control undisturbed transect to compare the other transects which are subject to different levels of disturbance.

Transect T1 represents an area along the border the park, which was a open forest until about four years ago. The larger part of the transect lies on flat area, but begins to rise slowly and concludes half way up the plateau side. The dominant tree is again teak and mixed forest association of *Terminalia tomentosa*, *Lagerstroemia parviflora*, *Lannea coromandelica*, *Acacia catchu*, and *Annogeissus latifolia*. Being on the periphery of the park this habitat is grazed and used for all other form of human use. The nearby perennial water spring is a major attractant for herders from far off villages who use it to water their livestock.

Transect 3, comprises of two parts, T 3.1 and T 3.2. Each of which is 1 Km in length. T 3.1 runs along the border of the Hinota town. It is a heavily grazed area, with some patches even devoid of any form of grass or vegetation cover. This transect accounted for the maximum number of the Chinkara sightings. The area of the village immediately bordering this part of the forest are agricultural fields and crop raiding by Cinkara and the odd Nilgai are not uncommon. Access to water on this transect is very good. A perennial stream has been check dammed for use by the village, water is always present. Teak dominates the transect with associates like *T. tomentosa*, *L. parviflora* and *D. melanoxylon*.

Transect 3.2 This transect starts off from the end of TN 3.1 and moves away from the village. This area is dominated by *L. parviflora*, teak, *Terminalia* association with the odd *A. catachu*, *A. latifolia* and *Maduca indica*. This transect too, has weed infestation by *Oscimum* species, but not as intense as T2. The whole

transect is intensively grazed and browsed. Due to proximity to the village disturbances are high in the habitat.

Transect 4.1 is largely Teak dominated, with *Terminalia* and *Lagerstroemia* association. In spite of its proximity to the village it is not an extensively grazed area or disturbed area. Cases of cattle straying in are common, but the magnitude of it is not as great as in the other areas. E.g. T 3.1 & 3.2, T2. Hence in spite of its proximity to the village the standing biomass of grass is high.

Transect 4.2, is characteristic of an area called the "Sathkatta jungle" or miscellaneous forest with the seven timber species. Namely teak, *T. tomentosa*, *L. parviflora*, *A. latifolia*, *D. melanoxylon*, *Flacourtia indica* and *A. catechu*. These transect falls in a slightly more elevated area, which is rockier and has drier regime. This area too is not grazed intensively grazed by cattle.

A factor common to all the transects discussed so far, except T 7, is the presence of lopping the branches of fodder tree species by goat herders.

Transect 5 & 6 sample an area surrounding a village ensconced within the park. These villages have grazing rights within the park. Access to water from these two transect is good. There is a perennial source of water, which is located in the village. Use of this is by wild animals is largely restricted to the night, with cattle, and humans dominating the landscape during the day. Distance from the water source to the farthest point on the transects is about 3Km.

Transect T5 is an open dry deciduous teak mix forest. Intensively grazed area. Ground cover is largely depleted. Lantana is found in patches with open areas in between. The other tree species include *L. parviflora*, *Z. xylopyrous*, *Butea monosperma*, *Feronia alata*, *Acacia senegalensis* and *D. melanoxylon*. As with the other transects lopping is rampant even in this area.

Transect T 6. Starts off from just outside the revenue area of Talgaon village. The initial section on the transect is infested with lantana. Unlike in the lantana patches found on transect 5, in this case they are very clumped and greatly reduces

visibility. Further along the transect the intensity of *lantana* clumping reduces, and more open areas are found. The tree species composition in the 1 to 1.5 Km stretch of the transect is largely a *Tectona* – *Butea* association, which gradually gives way to a *Tectona* – *Zizyphus* – *Diaospyros* – *Lagerstroemia* association. The few recordings of animals during the sampling were from this latter part of the transect. Grazing by cattle and goats is extensive with lopping of all browse species being practiced by goat herders.

2.8 FAUNA

The park supports a diverse mammalian fauna. Besides tiger (*Panthera tigris tigris*) the other large carnivores include, Leopard (*Panthera pardus*), Striped hyaena (*Hyaena hyaena*), Sloth bear (*Melursus ursinus*), Dhole (*Cuon alpinus*), and wolves (*Canis lupus*). Smaller carnivores are represented by Jungle cat (*Felis chaus*), Indian fox (*Vulpes bengalensis*), Common mongoose (*Herpestes sp.*), and Ruddy mongoose and Ratel (*Mellivora capensis*). The wild ungulate assemblage consists of Sambar (*Cervus unicolor*), Chital (*Axix axis*), Nilgai (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*), Chinkara (*Gazella gazella*), Chousinga (*Tetracerus quadricornis*), Wildpig (*Sus scrofa*). The most common primate species is the common langur (*Presbytis entellus*).

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Field Methods:

The data collection part comprised of two components: -

1. Animal population distribution, i.e. recording patterns in the distribution of ungulate species across a gradient of anthropogenic disturbances.
2. Habitat Evaluation, i.e. measuring a set of habitat and disturbance parameters to understand the processes behind the observed set of patterns.

3.1.1 Animal Population Distribution

Two methods were used to measure abundance estimates of ungulates in the different areas, namely; The Line Transect Method (Burnham *et al.* 1980) and Pellet Group Count Technique (Bennett *et al.* 1940, Eberhardt *et al.* 1956 and Neff 1968).

3.1.1.1 Line Transect Method: -

Ten line transects were laid (six were of 2 Km. each and the rest were 1 Km. each in length) in a stratified random manner, to sample fringe areas with villages on the periphery. Areas surrounding a village ensconced well within the national park, and areas that have been relocated, about 10 years ago. The transects were cut and marked at every 100 mts. Transect walks were repeated for a minimum of 7 walks and a maximum of 9 walks across both seasons viz. winter upto mid-summer. Wild ungulate species seen on the transect were recorded as species, sex and age (if possible), sighting time, sighting distance (ocular estimate) and sighting angle were recorded. Transect walk commenced within the first hour after sunrise. All transects were walked by the same team of two observers. A distance of one kilometre was covered in approximately 40 minutes on all transect walks. Livestock was not systematically recorded on transect walks, because most of the cattle came out into the forest to graze only later in the day, by which time we were usually through with the walk. So using transects to quantify livestock, as a

disturbance variable would have been an underestimate.

3.1.1.2 The Pellet-Count Method

This method was first described by (Bennet *et al.* 1940), and has since been improved (Eberhardt *et al.* 1956) established as a useful and reliable method for a wide variety of conditions. Neff (1968), provides an extensive review of the subject. The method has a number of important advantages over the direct observation method. It measures a permanent record of the presence of an animal i.e. its droppings. This method is suitable for areas which have a marked difference in temporal use by wild herbivores, or low ungulate densities, making them 'absent' during the transect walks.

Cairns *et al.* (1980), used this method as measure of the time spent in a particular habitat during a season. Edge *et al.* (1989), compared Pellet group and telemetry techniques to compare elk distributions. They found that of the pellet group technique could be used to identify key areas and estimate elk distribution relative to topographic and disturbance factors.

A limitation of the method is its lack of utility during the monsoon months on the subcontinent, or during moist and wet weather. Largely because of displacement of pellets during rains, heightened dung beetle activity and disintegration of pellets. Hence this method is ideally suitable for the dry months.

Given the conditions of Panna, a dry deciduous habitat, with low ungulate densities and high livestock pressure, the use of pellet - counts was ideally suited for my study. Also the duration of the study was during the dry season.

A total of 160 dung plots measuring 60mts x 2mts were monitored 5 to 7 times, with the interval between counts varying between 10 to 30 days in winter to 20 days in summer. In the areas with tall grass the entire plot was cleared for ground visibility, so as to minimise error due to missing out pellet groups. The area cleared of grass is insignificant in relation to that of the entire study area. Hence the possibility that the

availability of fresh shoots could bias dung deposition rates is unlikely. The duration of the study, being in the dry months does not favour extensive growth of new sprouts even if the ground has been cleared. Pellet groups belonging to different species were recorded on each visit and removed from these plots.

Dung and pellets of cattle and goats was also recorded which was used as a measure of livestock pressure. These total counts for all species were converted into total deposition rates per 10 days, for the entire study duration. This data further was used in analyses and comparisons of habitat occupancy.

3.1.2. Habitat evaluation.

The ideal habitat evaluation scheme must consider the perceptions of the animal. Since this is unknown, the factors are selected subject to our understanding of the needs and requirements of the animal.

The habitat evaluation was comprised of three parts.

1. Quantifying vegetation parameters.
2. Quantification of structural parameters.
3. Quantification of disturbance parameters.

3.1.2.1. Quantification of Vegetation Parameters.

- **POINT-CENTRED QUARTER** (PCQ) method: (Muller-Dombois 1974) was used to estimate tree density. Tree species and girth at breast height (GBH) of the individuals was also recorded. Sampling points were laid at 100 metre intervals along the transect. The distance to the nearest tree in each quarter was measured and the species and its GBH recorded.
- Five metre radius plots were laid at the same points used for the PCQ, for estimating **shrub species composition** and densities. Total counts of shrubs and saplings was carried out within these plots.
- **Biomass:** Standing weight of grass. Grass was collected from two randomly placed

plots (0.5 x 0.5 mts.), one on either side of the line transect. The species present were recorded and the samples were stored till summer. They were sun dried in summer for one complete day at shade a temperatures of about 40°C and weighed.

- **Canopy cover.** A rectangular hand mirror of 8x5 inches was grided into 40 squares of 1sq in. each. The mirror was held perpendicular to the body, at waist height. Five readings were taken at each point. One at the centre, the remaining four 10 steps away from the point in four directions perpendicular to each other. The number of squares with more than 50% cover was considered closed. The five values were pooled and an average for the sampling point was calculated.
- **Horizontal cover density.** This was measured using a chessboard. Measurements were made in 4 perpendicular directions, at distances of 10, 20 and 30 meters away from the central point. The measures were made at three heights, of 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 mts. from the ground at each distance. Only the black squares were considered, the number of visible squares of which were recorded. The number of black squares counted were later converted into proportion of the total number of black squares on the board (32). These visibility values for each height were pooled across the four perpendicular directions for each sampling point.

3.1.2.2. Quantification of Terrain and Structural parameters:

- **Distance to water.** Water holes, perennial springs and tanks near all the transects were marked on 1:50,000-scale topographic sheets of the study area. Distances were further calculated from water sources to the line transects. Transects were placed on the toposheets with the help of GPS locations for the start and finish or only GPS location of the starting point and the angular bearing of the transect.
- The gradient for each of the sampling point were recorded into 3 categories of Flat, Mild Slope and Steep. This was a subjective measure based on the character of the general vicinity of the sampling point.

3.1.2.3 Quantification of disturbance parameters

- Dung and pellet deposition for cattle and goats were monitored using the pellet plots which have been described earlier.
- The intensity of lopping and cutting was measured by counting cut and lopped trees within the 5 mts. radius plot.

3.2. Analytical Methods

The statistical analysis was performed using the software package SPSS. Version 8 (SPSS Inc., 1998). One way Anova and Tukey's multiple comparison test according to (Zar 1984) and Principal Component Analysis according to (Gauch 1982)

3.2.1. Density estimation

3.2.1.1. Checking for detectability bias of species between transects.

One way ANOVA was used to compare detectability (angular sighting distances) for each species on different transects.

3.2.1.2. Analysis of line transect data

After establishing that species detectability did not vary between transects, all sightings of a particular species was pooled and effective strip widths for each species was estimated from the histogram of frequency of sightings against, distance class intervals. Five to ten percent data was truncated in order to establish the stripwidths.

These strip widths were used to calculate densities as $= n/2LW$. where; n = no. of individuals sighted per walk within the fixed strip-width, L = length of the transect, W = effective strip width.

Distance program was not used to estimate densities of individual species, due to extremely low sample sizes (Table 4.1 A - H) on most transects. However density

estimates using Distance program was attempted after pooling all sightings on a transect (irrespective of species). These results are tabulated in Appendix 1. As can be seen, even in this case, the estimates using the program are not reliable. Therefore the only solution to get reliable density estimates in such low-density habitats is to have a much higher sampling effort, an effort that was not possible in the duration of this study given the logistical and other constraints.

3.2.2. Dung deposition rates on different transects.

Species - wise deposition rates for each plot was calculated per 10 days for the entire study period. One way ANOVA and multiple comparison test (Tukeys' HSD) was used to check for differences in dung deposition rates between species and between transects.

3.2.3. Ordination of transects based on disturbance and habitat characteristics.

All the plots were subject to Principle Component Analysis (PCA), to classify them into various categories based on habitat, disturbance and terrain variables. A one way ANOVA with multiple comparison was used to test for significant differences between the habitats based on the PC 1 and PC 2 respectively.

The dung deposition rates were correlated (Pearson) with respective principle component scores to ascertain their relationships. Patterns in association of species with different disturbance regimes were arrived at by a multiple correlation with component scores for all the three main principal components correlated with dung or dropping deposition rates.

4. Results

4.1 Density Estimation

4.1.1 Detectability bias of species between transects.

Sambar, Nilgai and Wildpig showed no significant difference in detectability across the transects (Sambar $F = .967$, $df = 2$, $p \leq 0.39$, Nilgai $F = 1.918$, $df = 5$, $p \leq 0.11$ and Wildpig $F = 1.230$, $df = 1$, $p \leq 0.348$). Only Chinkara showed a significant difference ($F = 5.624$, $df = 5$, $p \leq 0.0001$). The difference was significant only between transect 4 and transect 7 in the case of Chinkara at ($p \leq 0.0001$). Chital was not included in the test as it was sighted only on one transect.

4.1.2. Density estimates for different transects.

Since detectability across transects was not different, between species, the data was pooled to estimate strip width for each species.

From the histograms of perpendicular distance against frequency of sightings (Fig. 4.1 A-E) the strip - widths for Sambar, Chital were set at 50 mts. and that of, Nilgai and Chinkara at 80mts for the latter two. In case of wildpig the strip width was approximated to 40mts. The density estimates for individual species for the various transects is compiled in table 4.1 (A - H)

4.2. Dung Deposition Rates on different transects

The dung deposition rates of all species (Figure 4.3 A -H) showed significant difference across the transects. Sambar ($F = 14.764$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.0001$); Chital ($F = 3.529$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.001$); Nilgai ($F = 7.731$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.0001$); Wildpig ($F = 2.593$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.003$); langur ($F = 7.238$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.0001$); Cow ($F = 17.062$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.0001$); Goat ($F = 13.506$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.0001$); and Chinkara ($F = 23.208$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.0001$). The transect

wise comparison of dung deposition rates for each species shows that Chital and Nilgai differs significantly only between 7 and all other transects (Chital, $F = 3.529$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.001$; Nilgai, $F = 7.731$, $p \leq 0.0001$, $df = 9$). Sambar differed between 8 and all other transects ($F = 14.764$, $p \leq 0.0001$, $df = 9$) and Langur shows similarity only between transects 2 & 8. ($F = 7.238$, $p \leq 0.822$, $df = 9$). Livestock cattle and buffaloes, showed significant difference between a wide number of transects (Table 4.2)

4.3 Ordination of Habitats.

Principle component analysis yielded a total of 11 distinct components. Of which, the first three (habitat quality, disturbance and topography) accounted for 53.022 % of the variance of habitat evaluation data. The remaining eight components, each of them accounted for less than 10 % of the variance, and hence were not included in the analysis. Bartlett's test of sphericity ($p \leq 0.001$) proved that the correlation matrices were not identity matrices. KMO (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin) measure of sampling adequacy was 0.655, which indicates sampling adequacy as well as validity of PCA.

The habitat quality axis (PC1) is positively associated with canopy cover, tree density, tree species richness, terrain and shrub and sapling diversity. The disturbance axis (PC2) was positively associated with Goat pellet, Cow dung deposition rates woodcut and negatively associated with grass weight. While visibility and terrain was associated positively and water negatively with topography (PC 3). (Table 4.3 A-C).

Habitat occupancy by ungulate community in relation to habitat quality and disturbance is depicted in figure 4.2. Chital and Sambar shows a localized distribution restricted to areas with low disturbance and better quality and structure. Nilgai, chinkara and wildpig are more widely distributed.

4.4. Species association with habitat variables.

Transects showed significant differences in factor 1, the habitat quality component ($F = 10.437$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.0001$) and factor 2 the disturbance component ($F = 25.502$, $df = 9$, $p \leq 0.0001$). The individual comparisons between transects has been represented in Table 4.4 (A-B).


Component scores PC 1, 2, 3 were correlated with the dung deposition rates of all the study animals. From the correlation matrix (Table 4.5) Sambar and langur shows a slight positive correlation with quality and structure (component 1), while chital, nilgai, chinkara and wildpig were negatively correlated with the same.

Sambar, Chital, Nilgai and Langur showed negative correlation with habitat disturbance (component 2), while chinkara and wildpig show a positive correlation.

Chital, nilgai, and wildpig were negatively correlated with topography (component 3). While Chinkara, langur and sambar show a positive correlation with component 3.


The overall correlation coefficients are weak, and hence the p values are of little importance in deciding the correlation. Therefore the results of the correlation matrix should be looked at as merely suggesting trends in the association between various species and the habitats.

Figure 4.1A Histogram of sighting frequency of Sambar in distance classes of 10mts.




X - axis = distance classes of perpendicular sighting distance.
Y - axis = frequency of sighting in each interval class.

Figure 4.1B Histogram of sighting frequency of Chital in distance classes of 10mts.




X - 10 mts. Class intervals of sighting distances.
Y - axis number of animals seen in each interval class.

Figure 4.1 C Histogram of sighting frequency of Nilgai in distance classes of 10mts.




X - axis = distance classes of perpendicular sighting distance.
Y - axis = frequency of sighting in each interval class.

Figure 4.1D Histogram of sighting frequency of Chinkara in distance classes of 10mts.



X - axis = distance classes of perpendicular sighting distance.
Y - axis = frequency of sighting in each interval class.

Figure 4.1E Histogram of sighting frequency of Wildpig in distance classes of 10mts.



X - axis = distance classes of perpendicular sighting distance.
Y - axis = frequency of sighting in each interval class.

Densities of Ungulates in Panna National Park.

Table 4.1 (A -H)

(A) Densities of ungulates on transect # 1, Length = 2 Km, disturbed plateau.

	Sambar	Chinkara	Nilgai
Density/ km ²	1.25 (8.75)	4.30 (7.031)	1.56 (3.125)
n	3 (14)	11 (20)	7 (11)
Effort (Km)	16	16	16
C.V	185.16 (151.96)	54.11 (102.9)	151.19 (141.5)

(B) Densities of ungulates on transect # 2, Length = 2 Km, disturbed hill.

	Sambar	Nilgai	Chinkara	Wildpig
Density/ km ²	0.63 (1.429)	1.17 (2.678)	0.39 (2.3623)	1.60 (2.75)
N	1 (2)	3 (6)	1 (2)	2 (3)
Effort (Km)	14	14	14	14
C.V	302.37 (264.6)	142.53 (141.75)	302.37 (264.58)	195.18 (183.59)

**(C) Densities of ungulates on transect #3.1 & 3.2 (Length of 3.1 = 1 Km & length of 3.2 = 1 Km)
Disturbed plateau.**

Transect 3.1	Chinkara	Nilgai
Density/ km ²	8.49 (10.714)	0.44 (0.893)
N	18 (40)	1 (2)
Effort (Km)	14	14
C.V	46.17 (60.381)	264.58 (264.6)
Transect 3.2		
Density/ km ²	4.01 (5.80)	0.90 (0.90)
N	11 (16)	2 (2)
Effort (Km)		
C.V	132.55 (109.59)	170.78 (170.58)

**** Numbers within parentheses are individual densities, individuals encountered on transects and their associated CV's respectively ; n = number of groups (individuals) encountered on transects.**

(D) Densities of ungulates on transect #4.1 & 4.2 (Length of 4.1 = 1 Km & length of 4.2 = 1 Km); Less disturbed plateau.

Transect 4.1	Nilgai	Chinkara
Density/ km ²	3.13 (10.268)	3.570 (3.977)
N	7 (22)	8 (9)
Effort (Km)	14	14
C.V	81.64 (105.01)	78.72 (84.213)
Transect 4.2		
Density/ km ²	1.33 (1.33)	0.44 (0.44)
n	3 (3)	1 (1)
Effort (Km)	14	14
C.V	124.72 (124.72)	264.57 (264.575)

(E) Densities of ungulates on transect #5 (Length of transect 5 = 2 Km.), Disturbed plateau.

	Sambar	Nilgai	Chinkara
Density/ km ²	0.56 (1.111)	2.78 (4.16)	1.39 (2.083)
n	1 (2)	7 (12)	5 (7)
Effort (Km)	18	18	18
C.V	300 (300)	87.94 (140.31)	163.46 (167.71)

(F) Densities of ungulates on transect #6 (Length of transect 6 = 2 Km), Disturbed plateau

	Chinkara	Wildpig	Nilgai
Density/ km ²	1.04 (1.04)	0.35 (2.85)	0.69 (1.042)
n	3 (3)	1 (4)	2 (3)
Effort (Km)	18	18	18
C.V	150.0 (150.0)	300.0 (300.01)	198.0 (212.1)

** Numbers within parentheses are individual densities, individuals encountered on transects and their associated CV's respectively ; n = number of groups (individuals) encountered on transects.

(G) *Densities of ungulates on transect #7 (length of transect 7= 2 Km.)*
Undisturbed plateau, relocated village site.


	Sambar	Chital	Nilgai	Wildpig	Chinkara
Density/ km ²	3.12 (5.62)	9.37 (22.5)	7.81 (11.72)	2.40 (9.74)	1.56 (1.17)
n	6 (17)	18 (49)	27 (49)	2 (11)	6 (9)
Effort (Km)	16	16	16	16	16
C.V	82.80 (129.6)	119.16 (126.8)	77.09 (114.7)	138.01 (227.9)	213.83 (282.84)

(H) *Densities of ungulates on transect #8 (length of transect 8 = 2 Km.)*
Undisturbed hill transects.

	Sambar
Density/ km ²	16.44 (30.63)
n	26 (53)
Effort (Km)	16
C.V	260.07 (93.36)

**** Numbers within parentheses are individual densities, individuals encountered on transects and their associated CV's respectively ; n = number of groups (individuals) encountered on transects.**

Figure 4.3A - Pellet group deposition rate for Sambar, seasonwise. Error bars represent confidence intervals at ($\alpha = 0.05$)



Transect No. 1, 3.1, 3.2, 5, 6 = Disturbed plateau habitat.
 Transect No. 4.1, 4.2 = Less disturbed plateau habitat.
 Transect No. 2 = Disturbed hill habitat.
 Transect No. 8 = Undisturbed hill habitat.

Figure 4.3 B - Pellet group deposition rate for Chital across both seasons. Error bars represent confidence interval at ($\alpha = 0.05$)





Figure 4.3 C - Pellet group deposition rate for Chinkara across both seasons. Error bars represent confidence interval at ($\alpha = 0.5$)



Transect No. 1, 3.1, 3.2, 5, 6 = Disturbed plateau habitat
 Transect No. 4.1, 4.2 = Less disturbed plateau habitat
 Transect No. 2 = Disturbed hill habitat
 Transect No. 8 = Undisturbed hill habitat.

Figure 4.3 D - Pellet group deposition rate for Nilgai across both seasons. Error bars represent confidence intervals at ($\alpha = 0.05$)





Figure 4.3 E - Dung deposition rate for Wildpig across both seasons. Error bars represent confidence intervals at ($\alpha = 0.05$)



Transect No. 1, 3.1, 3.2, 5, 6 = Disturbed plateau habitat.
 Transect No. 4.1, 4.2 = Less disturbed plateau habitat.
 Transect No. 2 = Disturbed hill habitat.
 Transect No. 8 = Undisturbed hill habitat.

Figure 4.3 F- Pellet group deposition rate for Goats across both seasons. Error bars represent confidence intervals at ($\alpha = 0.05$)






Figure 4.3 G - Dung deposition rate for cattle (cows and buffalos) across both seasons. Error bars represent confidence intervals at ($\alpha = 0.05$)



Transect No. 1, 3.1, 3.2, 5, 6 = Disturbed plateau habitats
 Transect No. 4.1, 4.2 = Less disturbed plateau habitats.
 Transect No. 2 = Disturbed hill habitat
 Transect No. 8 = Undisturbed hill habitat.

Figure 4.3 H - Dropping deposition rate for langur across both seasons. Error bars represent confidence intervals at ($\alpha = 0.05$)



· *Table 4.2. Representing differences between pairs of transects with respect to dung deposition rate of cattle and Buffaloes*

Transect ID	1	2	3.1	3.2	4.1	4.2	5	6	7	8
1			*						*	*
2			*					*		
3.1	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3.2			*						*	*
4.1			*					*		
4.2			*					*		
5			*					*		
6		*	*		*	*	*	-	*	*
7	*		*	*				*		
8	*		*	*	*	*		*		

** significance difference (alpha = 0.1) between transects with respect to pellet deposition rates*

Table 4.3A The component matrix showing correlation of variables with each component.

Variables	Component		
	1	2	3
Canopy cover	.767	.128	.270
Tree density	.780	-.033	0.051
Tree sp richness	.389	-.188	-.068
Distance to Water	.063	-.152	-.805
Woodcut	.160	.535	.198
Grass weight	-.135	-.631	-.277
Shrub density	.525	.113	-.025
Visibility	-.465	.154	.516
Goat pell. Dep. rate	-.100	.766	-.051
Cow	-.263	.752	-.233
Terrain	.422	-.158	.739

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.
a Rotation converged in 5 iterations.

Table 4.3 B The proportion for each variable explained by all the components

Variables	Extraction
Canopy cover	.677
Tree density	.611
Tree spp. richness	.191
Distance to water	.675
Wood cut	.351
Grass weight	.492
Shrub density	.289
Visibility	.506
Goat pell. Dep.rate	.599
Cow dung dep. Rate	.690
Cow dung dep. Rate	.690
Terrain	.750

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Table 4.3C Total Variance Explained by each of the 11 principle components

Component	Initial Eigenvalues		Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.368	21.529	2.368	21.529	21.529	2.143	19.485	19.485
2	2.077	18.878	2.077	40.407	40.407	1.974	17.942	37.428
3	1.388	12.615	1.388	53.022	53.022	1.715	15.594	53.022
4	.929	8.448		61.470				
5	.881	8.012		69.482				
6	.795	7.223		76.705				
7	.724	6.586		83.291				
8	.639	5.805		89.096				
9	.440	3.999		93.095				
10	.424	3.851		96.946				
11	.336	3.054		100.000				

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Figure 4. 4 A Ordination of transects based on habitat quality and disturbance characteristics on the principle component axes




Figure 4.4 B – Ordination of transects based on habitat quality and structural characteristics on the principle component axes




FIGURE 4.2. Diagram represents a composite picture of the extent of overlap between the species with respect to the habitat quality and disturbance.




Table 4.4 - A

Multiple comparison between transects with reference to Habitat quality and structure (scores for PC1)

TN ID	1	2	3.1	3.2	4.1	4.2	5	6	7	8
1	-		*				*		*	
2		-	*				*		*	
3.1	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3.2			*	-						*
4.1			*		-					
4.2			*			-				
5	*	*	*				-	*		*
6			*				*	-		
7	*	*	*						-	*
8			*	*			*		*	-

* Significance at < 0.1

The above matrix is a representation of the how the transects differ from each other or group together with respect to the habitat structure and quality variables.

Table 4.4 -B

Multiple comparison between transects with reference to disturbance (scores for PC2)

TN ID	1	2	3.1	3.2	4.1	4.2	5	6	7	8
1	-		*		*	*			*	*
2		-	*	*	*	*	*		*	*
3.1	*	*	-	*	*	*			*	*
3.2			*	-				*	*	
4.1	*		*	*		-		*	*	*
4.2	*	*	*				-	*	*	*
5			*		*	*	*	*	*	*
6				*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*
8	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*

*Significant at < 0.1 .

The above matrix is a representation of how the transects differ from each other or group together with respect to the disturbance variables.

Table 4.5 Correlation matrix of dung and dropping deposition rate with factor scores of the first 3 principle components.

SPECIES		REGR factor score	REGR factor score	REGR factor score
		1	2	3
CHITAL	Pearson Correlation	-.055	-.202	-.133
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.498	.012	.100
	N	155	155	155
NILGAI	Pearson Correlation	-.097	-.165	-.032
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.228	.041	.694
	N	155	155	155
CHINKARA	Pearson Correlation	-.342	.375	.108
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.181
	N	155	155	155
WILDPIG	Pearson Correlation	-.145	.152	-.038
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.073	.058	.637
	N	155	155	155
LANGUR	Pearson Correlation	.217	-.034	.487
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.007	.679	.000
	N	155	155	155
SAMBAR	Pearson Correlation	.353	-.245	.188
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.002	.019
	N	155	155	155

5. DISCUSSION

Does habitat occupancy of tiger prey vary across a gradient of anthropogenic disturbances? The findings of this study reveal that different levels of disturbance have a significant effect on the habitat occupancy of the various species of tiger prey studied.

Sambar

Sambar (*Cervus unicolor*), is the largest cervid in Asia. It is an element of the Indo-malayan region (Corbett and Hill 1992). Having evolved largely in closed-forested environments it shows affinities to these attributes even in other biomes that it has radiated into. Johnsingh (1983) in Bandipur observed that their abundance and distribution appear to be influenced by dense cover, water and by their ability to subsist with a wider variety of plants. Sambar is also known to use both open grassland and scrub areas and forested areas. The use of the former largely restricted to the night when it comes out from its daytime rest sites, to browse and graze (Eisenberg and Lockhart 1972). Therefore, we have an animal which shows a wide variety of habitat use (figure 4.2) in relation to chital the other cervid studied. Johnsingh (1983) observes that sambar was the most widely distributed large prey species in the study area.

Data from the present study also reflects this variety in habitat use, though the intensities of use vary between the different disturbance regimes considered. In Panna amongst the areas sampled in the present study, the undisturbed hill transects were used most (individual density of 30.63 / sq. km). The next lower densities was on the undisturbed plateau transect radiating from the relocated village site of Badgadi (5.65 individual / sq. Km.). Which is an ideal area for ungulates providing an interdigitation of grassland and woodland and perennial source of water. Density on the disturbed hill transect is (1.429 / sq. Km). The disturbed plateau transects on which sambar was recorded, are (8.75 / sq. km on T1 and 1.11 / sq. km on T5 near talgaon village). As

plots also show drastic increases in chital densities over a very short time when compared to cut areas (Moe and Wegge 1997). Another point one has to consider is the fall in the soil moisture as the dry season progresses and its impact on grass growth after fires that occur later in the season or the subsequent growth of grass after the first new sprouts have been cropped. MacNaughton (1985) reported from the Serengeti that fire only appears to have a weak stimulation of productivity in the wet season, immediately following a dry fire. Due to this ambiguity, it may be justified to consider the deposition rates observed on this transect (T3.2), as an odd event and exempt if from interpretations of habitat occupancy patterns. Keeping this ambiguity in mind I consider data of dung deposition rates only as indicators of the range of areas occupied, and not as a comparison of intensity of use in this particular instance.

For a comparison of different habitats in terms of habitat occupancy by chital, density estimates reveal a clear preference for the undisturbed plateau T 7 (figure 2.1) which is a village site evacuated in 1990. This transect shows similarities with the disturbed plateau transects with respect to the habitat structure variables. On the habitat disturbance axis, though, T 7 stands out in sharp contrast to all the other transects. From figure 4.4 A, we see that it is the, 'grass weight' that separates T7 from the rest of the transects that it shares a similarity with in terms of the habitat structure. This is largely a function of the cessation of grazing pressure following the relocation of the village. (Khan *et al.* 1996) have documented similar patterns in the case of Gir after the removal of a sizeable number of livestock. The agriculture fields of Badgadi have all been converted into grasslands and there is a very clear pattern of interdigitation of the forest and grassland that is a favourable habitat for chital. This observation is corroborated by Eisenberg and Lockhart (1972) who have reported chital utilization of forest to be high only in cases where forest borders on open grassland or scrub areas. Also being a past site of human habitation, this area has a large number of unused wells dotting the entire area. A

pond is also found in the area, which was probably the village pond. Therefore both with respect to structure, quality and water availability Badgadi provides ideal conditions for Chital.

(Gogate and Chundawat 1997), have found chital to be the most preferred prey in Panna (in terms of number) being utilised far in excess of its availability (prop. available = 0.06, prop utilised = 0.14, n=45). Karanth (1995) found that chital, though it forms the principal prey species it is not preferred. Johnsingh (1983) also found such a trend. It is only in the case of Panna that chital has been reported as the preferred prey. While in all other cases so far chital has been reported to be the principal prey. This probably is because of the absence of habitats suitable for the proliferation of chital. Hence in the case of Panna there is a need to create and maintain such habitats. Therefore what is needed is to maintain the evacuated village sites, at a seral stage where the edge due to the interdigitation of both grassland and woodland are maximised.

Nilgai

Nilgai (*Boselaphus tragocamelus*), unlike sambar and chital is not restricted to any particular habitat (Figure 4.2). The results show considerable variation in abundance estimates of nilgai between different habitats and methods. From direct sightings (Table 4.1), the undisturbed plateau area of Badgadi shows the highest densities. While a consideration of dung deposition rate (figure 4.3D) show that this habitat has one of the lowest dung deposition rates while the less disturbed plateau transects bordering Hinota village are all associated with high deposition rates. A regular feature of crop-depredation by animals in the surrounding agricultural areas is to attract them to the fringes of the forest. And they probably move away as the day begins as people and livestock start coming out into the forest. Hence not being recorded on the direct sampling method like line transects. This is a case where the use of indirect sampling methods like pellet group counts can reveal useful information, which would have been not available otherwise.

In Panna both nilgai and sambar contribute equally to their proportion in tiger's diet (24.4% in terms of number) (Gogate and Chundawat 1997). Nilgai though has been found to be less preferred in relation to availability as compared to chital and sambar, which are both, reported to be preferred. Therefore though nilgai contributes substantially to the tiger's prey base in Panna, it may not be an ideal situation. Nilgai are found in open areas often associated with high levels of disturbance. Such areas even if inhabited by tigers may not be suitable habitat for breeding (Schaller 1967). Also nilgai being an antelope has evolved in open areas, while, hunting success of large felids is intricately connected with the amount of hunting cover available (Krukk, 1986, Stander and Albon 1993). For a mother with cubs habitats conducive to hunt for herself and her growing cubs is of prime importance. Therefore Cervids having evolved in closed-forested habitats, which offers sufficient cover to hunt by ambush are preferred as prey by tigers. In Panna however nilgai are distributed over wide range of habitats (figure 4.2) that are open and appears to be catering to a substantial proportion (0.35 in terms of number) of the tigers diet (Gogate and Chundawat 1997). Therefore in the absence of wide distribution of sambar and chital, as compared to nilgai, its wide distribution across the entire gradient of disturbed areas is probably of great importance for dispersing tigers or transient individuals restricted to these poor quality habitats. This situation will only serve as a foothold for tigers, but will not provide suitable habitats for them to thrive.

Wild pig

Wild pig (*Sus scrofa*) is a generalist species and is adapted to a range of habitats, such as forests, secondary thickets, woodlands and grasslands. Its feeding habits are omnivorous, adjusting to the seasonal variation in the availability of various food resources.

This study shows that wild pig like nilgai have a wide range of habitat occupancy (Figure 4.2, and Figure 4.3E). The dung deposition rate reveals a peak on two of the

disturbed plateau transects T3.1 and T6. From the map of the study area (Figure 2.1), we see that both these transect one is running parallel to the village (T3.1) and one is radiating from the immediate vicinity of a village (T6). The parts of Hinota and Talgaon village bordering these transects are agricultural fields. Therefore an explanation for the two peaks seen in the dung deposition rate graphs on these two transects, is the proximity to agriculture fields and the attraction of crop raiding (Schultz 1986) is what contributes to an otherwise largely similar level of deposition rate of wild pig dung across the other habitats.

Density estimates from Sariska (Rao 1991) are in the range of 18.7 ± 12.3 for winter and 26 ± 15.9 (\pm SE) for summer. Both these estimates are much higher than what is found in the undisturbed plateau transects $9.74 / \text{Km}^2$. Studies in Panna show that wild pig though it is selected more (prop. available. .03, prop. utilised = 0.06; in terms of numbers) in proportion to availability (Gogate and Chundawat 1996), does not constitute a substantial portion of the prey of the tiger. Largely because of its low overall densities. But the fact that it is preferred, inspite of its low densities across all habitats is important. Because if the densities observed are an effect of the inherent quality of the habitat of this dry deciduous habitat, one cannot do much. But if these densities are an effect of the factors under human influence then management actions can be aimed at mitigating these effects.

Chinkara

Chinkara (*Gazella gazella benneti*) are known to use, wastelands, broken up by dry streambeds, scattered bush and jungles (Roberts 1977). The results of this study show that chinkara occupy a wide range of habitats (Figure 4.2). In this case the results from both dung deposition rates and density estimates corroborate each other. This is quite intuitive for a species, which because of its preference for extremely open habitats has got

accustomed to human and livestock. Opportunistic observations of chinkara in the undisturbed plateau transect show that they are extremely shy, when compared with those found around habitation.

The highest densities and dung deposition rates for chinkara are found on the disturbed plateau transect bordering Hinota village (T 3.1). This is the transect that stands out the most on the disturbance axis (Figure 4.4 A). A factor that could be responsible for such high densities is probably the nearness to the agricultural fields (Schultz 1986). Comparing this with the disturbed plateau transect radiating from talgaon village (T6), another transect that is similar in its proximity to agriculture, shows a different pattern in chinkara abundance. Both these transects are similar on the disturbance axis. But they vary slightly with in relation to structure (figure 4.4A). With visibility being less on T6 due to the extensive patchwork of lantana bushes along the initial sections of this transect. But comparisons of dung deposition rate data, appears to corroborate the low densities observed. Therefore the low densities of chinkara are not necessarily an effect of lower detectability or visibility in this habitat. Also species detectability of chinkara did not vary between transects (Section 4.1.1).

The fact that chinkara prefer open areas as an anti predatory strategy, is probably why such habitats are used less.

Langur

Hanuman langur (*Presbytis entellus*) shows a wide distribution from about 3660m in the Himalayas throughout peninsular India into Srilanka. But unlike its congeners does not usually inhabit evergreen forests (Newton 1984).

Langur shows the highest abundance being reported from the hill transects. Disturbance does not appear to have a significant effect on langur habitat occupancy between disturbance types. With the disturbed hill (T2) transect showing higher abundance of langur as compared to the control hill transect (T8). Being folivores they are

not affected drastically by disturbances on the ground like grazing, but are probably more dependent on the tree species diversity and density of trees. Of course at high lopping intensities there may be a significant difference between abundance, but at levels currently present, though the habitats ordinate separately, the habitat occupancy by langur does not seem to follow this separation. Also langur seem to be quite evenly distributed across all the other disturbance regimes (Figure 4.3 H). The influence of water also appears to be important in influencing the distribution of langurs. The ordination diagram of the transects shows that the two both the disturbed and undisturbed hill transect are associated strongly with reducing distance to water with the disturbed hill transect having more number of water sources. Food habit studies of tigers reveals that langur are less used than available (prop. available = 0.11, prop. used = 0.05 in terms of numbers).

Overlap of ungulate species in relation to the habitat quality :

Figure 4.2 depicts the overlap of species in terms of their association with habitat quality, structure and disturbance. Chital shows a very localised distribution, and a very strong tendency to avoid disturbance. Sambar is slightly more wide-ranging and relatively more tolerant to disturbance, than chital. This is because being a browser, it does not have to compete directly with livestock, which are primarily grazers. Sambar also shows the strongest relationship with habitat quality and structure. The area of overlap between sambar and chital is seen in the relocated village site, at Badgadi. In sharp contrast to the cervids, both nilgai and chinkara and show a wide range of distribution, which is strongly skewed towards open habitats and higher disturbance variables. So too was the case of wild pig, which is an omnivore utilising wide range of food resources.

Significance for tiger conservation:

As has already been discussed in the introduction, a viable and abundant large mammalian prey population (particularly cervids) is a prerequisite for a viable population of tigers. In the case of Panna its' numerous villages and their cumulative pressure, leaves very little habitat left to sustain large viable population of cervids and other ungulates.

The highest abundance of all the ungulate prey species during the study was recorded in the undisturbed relocated village site a habitat, which provides a very good ecotone of grassland and woodland. Given the wide availability of prey in this area, it is also seen to be preferred by tigers. The only successful cubbing of tiger in the last 3 years has taken place in this area (R. S. Chundawat pers. comm.).

Therefore these areas if they are maintained at the particular seral stage, so as to sustain such high abundance's of large to medium bodied ungulate prey, can serve as small core areas within the park. Similar to source areas which facilitate the breeding and dispersal of animals.

From this study disturbance is brought out an important factor contributing to low densities. The variables contributing to this disturbance are grazing and browsing by livestock and cutting or lopping of wood.

Cattle grazing or cutting wood from the forests are symptoms of deeper social ailment, one that is characterised by lack of awareness and social development. A situation which continues to perpetuate the dependence of a large number of people on the biomass resources of the forests. This is an extremely complex problem, which need serious consideration by ecologists, planners, and social scientists.

6. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bennet, L. J., P.F English. , And, R. McCain.** 1940. A study of deer populations by use of pellet group counts. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 4:398-403.
- Ben-Shahrar, R. and J. D. Skinner.** 1988. Habitat Preferences of African Ungulates Derived by Uni- and Multivariate Analyses. *Ecology* 69(5): 1479 -1485.
- Ben-Shahrar, R.**1990. Resource Availability and Habitat Preferences of Three African Ungulates. *Biological Conservation* 54:357-365.
- * **Berwick, S. H.** (1974). The community of wild ruminants in the Gir Forest Ecosystem, India. Ph. D. dissertation. Yale University.
- Burnham, K.P., D. R. Anderson, and J. L. Laake.** 1980. Estimation of Density from Line Transect Sampling of Biological Populations. *Wildlife Monographs*, 72:7-201.
- Cairns, A.L. and E. S. Telfer.** 1980. Habitat Use by 4 Sympatric Ungulates in Boreal Mixedwood Forests. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 44(4): 849-857.
- Caughley, G.** 1994. Directions in conservation biology. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 63:215-244.
- Champion, H. G., S. K. Seth** 1968. Forest types of India, Government of India Publications, New Delhi.
- Chaudhury, P.** 1996. *Economic Dependence of Enclaved and Surrounding villages on Panna National Park- A Search For an Alternative.* Consultancy Project Report, submitted to Director, Panna National Park, M. P.
- Chundawat, R. S., N. Gogate, and A. J. T. Johnsingh.** 1997. Tigers in Panna: Conservation Prospects in a Semi- Arid Habitat in India. In *Second Progress Report on the Field Work Carried out During the Period September 1996 to July 1997.* Wildlife Institute of India.
- Corbett, G. V. and J. E. Hill,** 1992. The mammals of the indo-malayan region. Oxford University press. Oxford.

- Dinerstein, E.** 1979a. An Ecological Survey of the Royal Karnali-Bardia Wildlife Reserve, Nepal. Part 1: Vegetation Modifying Factors , and successional relationships. *Biological Conservation*. 15:127-150.
- Dinerstein, E.** 1980. An ecological survey of the Royal Karnali-Bardia wildlife reserve, Nepal. Part III: Ungulate populations. *Biological Conservation*, 18:5-38
- Dinerstein, E.** 1987. Deer, Plant Phenology, and Succession in the Lowland Forests of Nepal. In C. M. Wemmer ed., *Biology and Management of the Cervidae*. Research Symposia of the National Zoological Park.
- Eberhardt, L., and R. C. Van Eten.** 1956. Evaluation of the Pellet Group Count as a Deer Census Method. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 20(1): 70-74.
- Edge, W.D. and L. C. Marcum.** 1989. Determining Elk Distribution with Pellet-group and Telemetry Techniques. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 53(3):621-624.
- Eisenberg, J. F.** 1980. The Density and Biomass of Tropical Mammals. In *Conservation Biology: An Evolutionary- Ecological Perspective*. Sinauer Associates, Inc. Massachusetts.
- Eisenberg, J. F., M. Lockhart.** 1972. An Ecological Reconnaissance Of Wilpattu National Park, Ceylon. Smithsonian Contribution to Zoology, Number 101. Smithsonian Institution Press. City of Washington.
- Eisenberg, J. F. and J. Seidensticker.** 1976. Ungulates in Southern Asia: A Consideration of Biomass Estimates for Selected Habitats. *Biological Conservation* 10:293-305.
- Gauch, H. G. Jr.** (1982). *Multivariate Analysis in Community Ecology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Gogate, N. and R. S. Chundawat.** 1997. Ecology of tiger; to enable a realistic projection of the requirements needed to maintain a demographically viable population of tigers in India. Second progress report on the fieldwork carried

out during the period September, 1996 to July 1997. Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun.

- Hirst, S. M.** 1975. Ungulate-Habitat Relationships in a South African Woodland / Savanna Ecosystem. *Wildlife Monographs* 44: 1-59.
- * **Hoogerwerf, A.** (1970). *Ujung Kulon, the land of the last Javan rhinoceros*. Leiden, Netherlands, E. J. Brill.
- Johnsingh, A. J. T.** 1983. Large Mammalian Prey-Predators in Bandipur. *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.*, 80:1-57.
- Karanth, K. U. and M. B. Stith.** 1999. Prey depletion as a critical determinant of tiger population viability. In J. Seidensticker, S. Christie and P. Jackson, eds., *Riding the Tiger: Tiger conservation in human dominated landscapes*. Cambridge University Press. 383pp.
- Karanth, K. U. and M. E. Sunquist.** 1992. Population structure, density and biomass of large herbivores in the tropical forests of Nagarahole, India. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 8:21-35.
- Karanth, K. U. and M. E. Sunquist.** 1995. Prey selection by tiger, leopard and dhole in tropical forest. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 64:439-450.
- Karanth, K. U. and J. D. Nichols.** 1998. Estimation of tiger densities in India using photographic captures and recaptures. *Ecology* 79: 2852-2862.
- Khan, J. A., R. Chellam, W. A. Rodgers, and A. J. T. Johnsingh.** 1996. Ungulate densities and biomass in the tropical dry deciduous forests of Gir, Gujrat, India. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 12:149-162.
- Kruuk, H.** 1986. Interactions between Felidae and their Prey species: A Review. In S. D. Miller and D. D. Everett, eds., *Cats of the World: Biology, Conservation and Management*.
- McNaughton, S. J.** 1985. Ecology of a Grazing Ecosystem: The Serengeti. *Ecological Monographs* 55(3): 259-294.

- Moe, S. R. and P. Wegge.** 1997. The effects of cutting and burning on grass quality and axis deer (*Axis deer*) use of grassland in lowland Nepal. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 13:279-292.
- Muller-Dombois, D. and H. Ellenberg.** 1974. *Aims and Methods in Vegetation Ecology*. John Wiley & Sons. 547pp.
- Neff, D.J.** 1968. The Pellet - Group Count Technique for Big Game Trend, Census, and Distribution : A review. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 32(3): 597-614.
- Newton, P. J.** 1984. The Ecology and Social Organization of Hanuman Langurs (*Presbytis entellus*) in Kanha Tiger Reserve, Central Indian Highlands. Ph.D. Thesis - University of Oxford.
- Pandey, C.B., J. S. Singh.** 1992. Rainfall and grazing effects on net primary productivity in a tropical savanna, India. *Ecology* 73(6): 2007-2021.
- Puri, G.S., R.S. Gupta, V. M. Meher-Homji, and S. Puri.** 1989. *Forest Ecology*. Vol 2. Plant Form, Diversity, Communities and Succession. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co. New Delhi. 582pp.
- Rao, D. D. B.** 1991. Habitat utilization and distribution pattern on Indian wild pig (*Sus scrofa cristatus*), in Sariska tiger reserve, Rajasthan.
- Roberts, T. J.** 1977. *The Mammals of Pakistan*. Ernest Benn Limited, London.
- Rodgers, W. A. and H. S. Panwar.** 1988. Planning a wildlife protected area network in India (Volumes I & II). Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun, India.
- Schaller, G. B.** 1967. *The Deer and the Tiger*. The University of Chicago Press. Chichago. 370pp.
- Schaller, G. B.** 1972. *The Serengeti Lion*. Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, U.S.A. and London, U.K. 480pp.
- Schultz, B. O.** 1986. The management of crop damage by wild animals. *Indian Forester* 112 (10):891-899.
- Seidensticker, J.** 1997. Saving the tiger. *Wildlife Conservation Society*. 25(1): 6 -17.

- Seidensticker, J., S. Christie, and P. Jackson.** 1999. Introducing the tiger. In J. Seidensticker, S. Christie and P. Jackson, Eds. *Riding the Tiger: Tiger conservation in human dominated landscapes*. Pp. 1-3. Cambridge University Press.
- Southwood, T. R. E.** 1987. The Concept and Nature of the Community. In *Organization of Communities: Past and present* Eds. J. H. R. Gee, and P.S. Giller, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.
- * **Spillet, J. J.** 1967a. A Report on the wildlife surveys in North India and Southern Nepal : The Kaziranga Wildlife Sanctuary, Assam. *J. Bombay. Nat. Hist. Soc.* 63(3): 494- 533.
- SPSS.** Inc.1998, Chicago, USA.
- Stader, P. E. and S. D. Albon.** 1993. Hunting success in lion in a semi-arid environment. *Symp. Zool. Soc. Lond.* 65:127-144.
- State of the Forest Report.** 1997. Forest Survey of India (Ministry of Environment and Forests), Dehradun.
- Sunquist, M., K. U. Karanth, and F. Sunquist.** 1999. Ecology, behaviour and resilience of the tiger and it's conservation needs. In J. Seidensticker, S. Christie and P. Jackson, Eds. *Riding the Tiger: Tiger conservation in human dominated landscapes*. Pp. 1-3. Cambridge University Press.
- ***Tiwari, D. K.** 1953-54. An ecological survey of Saugar, *The Saugar Univ. Journal* 1(3). Pp. 4-18.
- Zar, J. H.** (1984). *Biostatistical Analysis*. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- * Not seen in original.

APPENDIX - 1

Table 1. Densities of all species pooled on individual transects.

Habitat	Model	AIC	DI/ Km ²	LCL	UCL	%CV	GOF-p	DF
TN1 Disturbed plateau	Uniform	170.99	15.556	4.22	57.25	30.99	0.49	2
TN2 *** Disturbed Hill	Negative Exponential	48.01	18.62	5.92	58.60	49.50	---	6
TN3.1 Disturbed Plateau	Negative Exponential	189.16	14.833	5.4451	40.40	40.51	0.83	5
TN3.2 Disturbed Plateau	Negative Exponential	107.06	41.859	14.965	117.08	47.92	0.67	9
TN4.1 Less Disturbed Plateau	Uniform	119.76	19.694	7.0552	54.97	38.27	0.43	4
TN4.2 Less Disturbed Plateau	Uniform	40.597	2.8757	0.1021	80.94	26.73	0.65	1
TN5 Disturbed Plateau	Uniform	117.43	11.201	4.6329	27.08	32.62	0.47	4
TN6 Disturbed Plateau	Uniform	43.064	3.193	1.094	9.319	40.06	0.17	4
TN 7 Undisturbed Plateau	Hazard Rate.	523.56	191.22	75.711	482.9	40.74	0.02	7
TN8 Undisturbed Hill	Negative Exponential.	199.16	39.791	15.255	103.7	38.63	0.17	5

*** Sample size is small.